IFW PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0551-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

	Application Numl	per	09/967,031							
O FRANSMITTAL FORM		Filing Date		September 27, 2001						
		First Named Inve	entor	Lokpraveen B. MOSUR et al.						
MON 0 4 DOCK OF	Art Unit		2187							
(to be used for all correspondence after	r initial filing)_	Examiner Name		Kimberly N. McLEAN-MAYO						
Total Number Physics in this Submissi		Attorney Docket	Number	Intel 2207/11305						
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)										
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s			After Allowance Communication to TC						
Fee Attached	Licensing	g-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences						
Amendment / Reply	Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)						
After Final	_	o Convert to a al Application		Proprietary Information						
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence Ad		Status Letter						
Extension of Time Request	Terminal !	Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):						
Express Abandonment Request		for Refund ber of CD(s)		1. Postcard						
Information Disclosure Statement		dscape Table on CD								
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks									
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application										
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53										
s	IGNATURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, OF	RAGENT						
Firm	Kenyon & Kenyon									
Signature	Stephen J. Ned									
Printed Name	Stephen T. Neal									
Date	Novembei	r 1, 2004	Reg. No.	47,815						
		ATE OF TRANSMISS								
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450 or the date shown betwee M/S: APPEAL BRIEFS — PATENTS										

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date

**November 1, 2004** 

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Pilar Rodriquez

Signature

Typed or printed name

PTO/SB/17 (10-04v2)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,

Effective 10/01/2004. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

340.00 TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

Complete if Known							
Application Number	09/967,031						
Filing Date	September 27, 2001						
First Named Inventor	Lokpraveen B. MOSUR et al.						
Examiner Name	Kimberly N. McLEAN-MAYO						
Art Unit	2187						
Attorney Docket No.	Intel 2207/11305						

ME	THOU OF PAT	мемт (спеск ал тпат арр	<i>yy)</i>				F	E CALCULATION (continued)	
☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money ☐ Other ☐ None		3. A	3. ADDITIONAL FEES						
Order  Deposit Account:			Large	Entity	Small E	Entity			
Deposit			Fee	Fee	Fee	Fee			
Account 11-0600		Code	(\$)	Code	(\$)	Fee Description Fee	Paid		
Number	L			1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
Deposit Account Name  Kenyon & Kenyon		1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.			
		1053	130	1053	130	Non-English specification			
The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)  ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Credit any overpayments ☐ Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s) ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account.			1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination		
			1804	920*	1804	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action		
			1805	1,840*	1805	1,840	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action		
				1251	110	2251	55	Extension for reply within first month	
	FEE C	CALCULATION		1252	430	2252	215	Extension for reply within second month	
1. BASIÇ F	FILING FEE			1253	980	2253	490	Extension for reply within third month	
Large Entity	Small Entity			1254	1,530	2254	765	Extension for reply within fourth month	
	Fee Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid	1255	2,080	2255	1,040	Extension for reply within fifth month	
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	2001 395	Utility filing fee	Tee raid	1401	340	2401	170	Notice of Appeal	
	2002 175	Design filing fee		1402	340	2402	170	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	340.00
	2003 275	Plant filing fee		1403	300	2403	150	Request for oral hearing	-
1004 790	2004 395	Reissue filing fee		1451	1,510	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
1005 160	2005 80	Provisional filling fee		1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive – unavoidable	
SUBTOTAL (1)		1453	1.370	2453	685	Petition to revive – unintentional			
				1501	1,370	2501	685	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
2. EXTRA CLA	AIM FEES FC	OR UTILITY AND REIS	SUE	1502	490	2502	245	Design issue fee	-
	E	xtra Claims Fee from		1503	660	2503	330	Plant issue fee	
Total Claims	-20 ** =	X 18.00	Fee Paid	1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
Independent	=	^ [10.00		1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17 (q)	
Claims	-3 ** =	X 88.00	=	1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	$\vdash$
Multiple Dependent		×	=	8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
Large Entity	Small Entity			1809	790	2809	395	Filing a submission after final rejection	
Fee Fee (\$)	Fee Fe	Lee Description				2000	-	(37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
Code 18	Code (\$ 2202 9	) ———		1810	790	2810	395	For each additional invention to be	
1202 18	2202 9		excess of 3	1				examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
1203 300	2203 15			1801	790	2801	395	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
1204 88	2204 44	** Reissue independent original patent	claims over	1802	900	1802	900	Request for expedited examination	
1205 18	** Poincy p daires in evenes of 20 and			'			of a design application		
					ee (speci	• •			
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0				*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid					
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above							SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 34	10.00	

SUBMITTED BY Complete (if applicable) Stephen T. Neal 47,815 (408) 975-7500 Name (Print/Type) Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) Telephone November 1, 2004 Signature

> WARNING. Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Patent



Attorney Docket No.: Intel 2207/11305

U.S. Serial No.: 09/967,031 Assignee: Intel Corporation

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**APPELLANTS** 

Lokpraveen B. MOSUR et al.

SERIAL NO.

09/967,031

**FILED** 

September 27, 2001

FOR

LIST BASED METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR

SELECTIVE AND RAPID CACHE FLUSHES

**GROUP ART UNIT** 

2187

**EXAMINER** 

Kimberly N. McLEAN-MAYO

M/S: APPEAL BRIEFS - PATENTS

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: M/S: APPEAL BRIEFS – PATENTS, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, Attention: Board of Patent Appears and Interferences, on

Dated: November 1, 2004

Signature

# **ATTENTION: Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences**

# **APPELLANT'S BRIEF**

Dear Sir:

This brief is in furtherance of the Notice of Appeal, filed in this case on August 26, 2004, and received in the USPTO on August 30, 2004.

11/<del>05/2004 HMEKONEN 00000007 110600</del> 0996703

11/05/2004 MMEKONEN 00000019 110600 09967031

01 FC:1402

340.00 DA

### 1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest in this matter is Intel Corporation. (Recorded January 23, 2002, Reel/Frame 012537/0223).

#### 2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals.

#### 3. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-30 are pending in this application. Claims 1-30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). This appeal is an appeal from the rejection of claims 1-30.

# 4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No claims were amended in the previous response.

#### 5. SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An apparatus and a method for rapidly flushing a cache memory device, including a list structure to track changes in a cache, which may be implemented on the processor die separate from the cache memory. The list structure allows for access to a relatively small store of data to determine whether or not a cache entry needs to be written to the main memory. Choosing the format of the list structure allows one to make tradeoffs between area needed on a chip and the amount of efficiency in the cache flushing process.

List structure 44 is also shown in **Figure 4** in the context of cache 46, cache controller 48, request buffers 50, write-back buffers 52 and main memory 54, to illustrate how list structure

44 is used in a cache flush procedure. Cache controller 48 can generate evict requests and the set addresses for all the modified lines in cache 46 from list structure 44 alone, without having to directly access cache 46. The evict micro-operations and the set addresses will be stored in request buffers 50 which will issue the evict requests to cache 46. The data and address of the modified cache line will be latched in the write-back buffer. (*See* Paragraph 22).

#### 6. ISSUES

- A. Are claims 1-4, 7-12, 14, 16-27, and 29-30 rendered obvious over U.S Patent No. 5,860,105 to McDermott (Hereinafter "McDermott") in view of U.S Patent No. 6,490,657 to Masubuchi et al. (Hereinafter "Masubuchi") or Masabuchi in view of McDermott.?
- **B.** Are claims 5-6 rendered obvious over McDermott in view of Masubuchi in further view of U.S Patent No. 6,058,456 to Arimilli (Hereinafter "Arimilli")?
- C. Is claim 13 rendered obvious over McDermott in view of Masubuchi in further view of U.S Patent No. 6,460,122 to Otterness et al. (Hereinafter "Otterness")?
- **D.** Are claims 15 and 28 rendered obvious over McDermott in view of Masubuchi in further view of U.S Patent No. 5,724,550 to Stevens (Hereinafter "Stevens")?

### 7. GROUPING OF CLAIMS

The claims are grouped as follows.

**A.** Claims 1-30.

The claims in these groups do not stand or fall together unless so indicated below in the argument.

#### 8. ARGUMENT

A. Claims 1-4, 7-12, 14, 16-27, and 29-30 are not rendered obvious over McDermott in view of Masubuchi or Masabuchi in view of McDermott.

Independent claims 1, 16, 21, and 25 of the present invention describe a list structure to track the status of a plurality of cache entries. The list structure is located outside the cache and does not contain cache data or addresses. A query mechanism checks the list structure for the state of a cache entry. A cache flush mechanism flushes a cache entry and modifies the list structure to reflect a flushed state. Claims 2-4, 7-12, 14, 17-20, 22-24, 26-27, and 29-30 depend from claims 1, 16, 21, and 25.

Appellants respectfully submit that neither McDermott, Masubuchi, nor any combination thereof teaches or suggests a list structure for tracking a status of a plurality of cache entries, wherein the list structure is located outside a cache and does not contain cache data or addresses, as claimed in claims 1, 16, 21, and 25.

McDermott discloses an NDIRTY cache line lookahead technique used to expedite cache flush and export operations by providing a mechanism to avoid scanning at least some cache lines that do not contain dirty data (*See* Abstract). Masabuchi discloses a cache flush apparatus, attached to the system bus, that maintains the memory addresses held in dirty blocks within its own storage during the normal data processing. When a cache flush is required, the cache flush apparatus reads the memory addresses from the storage and issues bus commands. As a result, a dirty block becomes "shared" and it still holds the same data. For a non-dirty cache block, it remains unchanged (*See* Col. 2, Lines 49-57).

The Examiner has attempted to combine the two references to disclose this element. The Examiner states:

Regarding Applicant's argument regarding the teachings of Masabuchi, it should be noted that Masabuchi is relied upon for teaching that which McDermott does not. McDermott does not teach the list structure located outside of a cache. Masabuchi is relied upon for teaching a list structure which is located outside of a cache. Hence, it is not clear why the Applicant is arguing that Masabuchi's list structure contains addresses, since Masabuchi was never relied upon for teaching that feature.

(Office Action, May 25, 2004, Page 12).

McDermott's list structure does not contain addresses because it is located inside the cache. Specifically, McDermott states:

Referring to FIG. 2a, the NDIRTY cache line lookahead technique of the invention is implemented as part of the flush/export logic for the L1 cache 204.

(McDermott, Col. 6, Lines 57-59).

McDermott's list structure would be inoperable outside of the cache. Masabuchi does not make it possible for McDermott's list structure to operate outside of the cache. Masabuchi states:

A cache flush device 30 and a memory controller 50 for a main memory 51, are connected to the system bus 40. The cache flush device 30 includes a system bus interface 31, an update address memory 32 (consisting of regions  $A_0$  to  $A_{n-1}$ ), an update address registering section 33, an update address removing section 34 and a flush executing section 35. The update address registering section 33 and the update address removing section 34 can be implemented as a single hardware module.

The system bus interface 31 serves as an interface with the system bus 40.

The update address memory 32 consists of n regions  $A_0$  to  $A_{n-1}$  for storing addresses of data held in the dirty blocks of the cache memories 20. In this embodiment, if and only if a dirty block holds data of a certain address, the address is stored in a certain region of the update address memory 32. From now on, we call such a memory address stored in the update address memory 32 an update address.

(Masabuchi, Col. 11, Lines 5-23).

The Masabuchi list structure is entirely a list of addresses. The addresses are necessitated by the external nature of the list structure. Combining Masabuchi and McDermott would not produce a workable external list structure that does not contain cache data or addresses.

Therefore, a list structure for tracking a status of a plurality of cache entries, wherein the list structure is located outside a cache and does not contain cache data or addresses, as cited by claims 1, 16, 21, and 25.

The Examiner further states:

Additionally, it should be noted that a reference does not teach away from a particular modification merely because it is silent to making the modification. Just because McDermott teaches a list structure within the cache does not necessarily infer that McDermott teaches away from having the list structure located outside of the cache.

(Office Action, May 25, 2004, Page 13).

McDermott does more than teach a list structure within the cache. McDermott states:

An object of the invention is to store information in a cache array to reduce the time required for cache export and flush (export then invalidate) operations.

(McDermott, Col. 1, Lines 65-67).

McDermott teaches a list structure within the cache to speed up operation of the cache as an object of the invention. An external list would increase the time required for cache export and flush operations, which is counter to an express goal of the invention.

Appellants respectfully submit, therefore, that elements of claims 1, 16, 21, and 25 are neither shown nor suggested by the cited reference. Accordingly, the rejections of claims 1, 16, 21, and 25 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) are in error, and Appellants respectfully request their reversal.

In summary, it has been demonstrated that the McDermott and Masabuchi references in combination do not suggest the recited claim combination. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) requires that the references disclose the claimed combination without reference to the application. Accordingly, a rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is improper. In

view of the above, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejection of claims 1-4, 7-12, 14, 16-27, and 29-30 should be reversed.

# B. Claims 5-6 are not rendered obvious over McDermott in view of Masubuchi in further view of Arimilli.

Independent claim 1 of the present invention describes a list structure to track the status of a plurality of cache entries. The list structure is located outside the cache and does not contain cache data or addresses. A query mechanism checks the list structure for the state of a cache entry. A cache flush mechanism flushes a cache entry and modifies the list structure to reflect a flushed state. Claims 5-6 depend from claim 1.

Arimilli discloses allocating a cache used by a processor of a computer system between instructions and data (See Abstract).

Appellants respectfully submit that neither McDermott, Masabuchi, Arimilli, nor any combination thereof teaches or suggests a list structure for tracking a status of a plurality of cache entries, wherein the list structure is located outside a cache and does not contain cache data or addresses, as claimed in claim 1. The deficiencies in McDermott and Masabuchi are described above. Arimilli does not cure these deficiencies.

In summary, it has been demonstrated that the McDermott, Masabuchi, and Arimilli references in combination do not suggest the recited claim combination. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) requires that the references disclose the claimed combination without reference to the application. Accordingly, a rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is improper. In view of the above, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejection of claims 5-6 should be reversed.

# C. Claim 13 is not rendered obvious over McDermott in view of Masubuchi in further view of Otterness.

Independent claim 1 of the present invention describes a list structure to track the status of a plurality of cache entries. The list structure is located outside the cache and does not contain cache data or addresses. A query mechanism checks the list structure for the state of a cache entry. A cache flush mechanism flushes a cache entry and modifies the list structure to reflect a flushed state. Claim 13 depends from claim 1.

Otterness discloses a multiple level cache structure and multiple level caching method that distributes I/O processing loads including caching operations between processors to provide higher performance I/O processing, especially in a server environment (*See* Abstract).

Appellants respectfully submit that neither McDermott, Masabuchi, Otterness, nor any combination thereof teaches or suggests a list structure for tracking a status of a plurality of cache entries, wherein the list structure is located outside a cache and does not contain cache data or addresses, as claimed in claim 1. The deficiencies in McDermott and Masabuchi are described above. Otterness does not cure these deficiencies.

In summary, it has been demonstrated that the McDermott, Masabuchi, and Otterness references in combination do not suggest the recited claim combination. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) requires that the references disclose the claimed combination without reference to the application. Accordingly, a rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is improper. In view of the above, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejection of claim 13 should be

reversed.

# D. Claim 15 and 28 are not rendered obvious over McDermott in view of Masubuchi in further view of Stevens.

Independent claims 1 and 25 of the present invention describes a list structure to track the status of a plurality of cache entries. The list structure is located outside the cache and does not contain cache data or addresses. A query mechanism checks the list structure for the state of a cache entry. A cache flush mechanism flushes a cache entry and modifies the list structure to reflect a flushed state. Claims 15 and 26 depend from claims 1 and 25 respectively.

Stevens discloses responding to a microprocessor-generated write of a write-protected area of memory by invalidating a cache line corresponding to a write address in a microprocessor's internal cache by using a microprocessor address pin as a snoop invalidate signal during snoop cycles (*See* Abstract).

Appellants respectfully submit that neither McDermott, Masabuchi, Stevens, nor any combination thereof teaches or suggests a list structure for tracking a status of a plurality of cache entries, wherein the list structure is located outside a cache and does not contain cache data or addresses, as claimed in claims 1 and 26. The deficiencies in McDermott and Masabuchi are described above. Stevens does not cure these deficiencies.

In summary, it has been demonstrated that the McDermott, Masabuchi, and Stevens references in combination do not suggest the recited claim combination. Obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) requires that the references disclose the claimed combination without reference to the application. Accordingly, a rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is improper.

In view of the above, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejection of claims 15 and 26 should be reversed.

Appellants therefore respectfully request that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences reverse the Examiner's decision rejecting claims 1-30 and direct the Examiner to pass the case to issue.

The Examiner is hereby authorized to charge the appeal brief fee of \$340.00 and any additional fees which may be necessary for consideration of this paper to Kenyon & Kenyon Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON

Date: November 1, 2004

By:

Stepken Neal

10 44 15

(Reg. No. 47,815)

Attorneys for Intel Corporation

KENYON & KENYON 333 West San Carlos St., Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95110

Telephone:

(408) 975-7500

Facsimile:

(408) 975-7501



### **APPENDIX**

(Brief of Appellants Lokpraveen B. MOSUR et al. U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/967,031)

# **CLAIMS ON APPEAL**

1. An apparatus for cache flushing, comprising:

a list structure to track a status of a plurality of cache entries, wherein said list structure is located outside a cache and wherein said list structure does not contain cache data or addresses;

a query mechanism to check said list structure for the state of a cache entry; and a cache flush mechanism, logically coupled to said list structure and the cache, to flush a cache entry and for modifying said list structure to reflect a flushed state.

- 2. An apparatus in accordance with claim 1, wherein: said list structure comprises one bit per cache line.
- An apparatus in accordance with claim 1, wherein:
   said list structure comprises one bit per plurality of cache lines.
- 4. An apparatus in accordance with claim 1, wherein: said list structure comprises one bit per cache way.
- 5. An apparatus in accordance with claim 1, further comprising: one bit per a variable number of cache lines; and wherein a logical arrangement of said list structure conforms to said variable number.

- 6. An apparatus in accordance with claim 5, wherein: said variable number is set by an operating system.
- 7. An apparatus in accordance with claim 1, wherein:a logical arrangement of said list structure matches an architecture of a cache.
- 8. An apparatus in accordance with claim 1, wherein: said cache flush mechanism modifies a cache state responsive to results of a query of the said list structure.
- 9. An apparatus in accordance with claim 8, wherein: said cache flush mechanism is logically coupled to a higher level cache for writing back modified data.
- 10. An apparatus in accordance with claim 8, wherein: said cache flush mechanism based on the said list structure is logically coupled to a higher level cache for evicting modified data.
- 11. An apparatus in accordance with claim 8, wherein:
  said cache flush mechanism is logically coupled to a main memory for writing back
  modified data.

- 12. An apparatus in accordance with claim 8, wherein:
  said cache flush mechanism is logically coupled to a main memory for evicting modified data.
- An apparatus in accordance with claim 1, wherein:
   said list structure is located in random access memory (RAM).
- 14. An apparatus in accordance with claim 1, wherein: said list structure is located on a die.
- 15. An apparatus in accordance with claim 1, further comprising:a snoop command interpreter to check said list structure in response to a snoop command.
- 16. In a computer system with a cache memory, an apparatus for flushing the cache, comprising:

a list structure to record modifications to a plurality of cache entries wherein, wherein said list structure is located outside a cache and said list structures does not contain cache data or addresses;

a cache controller to query said list structure for modifications to said plurality of cache entries and generate a list of cache write-back instructions; and

wherein said cache controller is to invalidate said plurality of cache entries corresponding to said list of cache write-back instructions.

- 17. An apparatus in accordance with claim 16, wherein: said list structure is a full list.
- 18. An apparatus in accordance with claim 16, wherein: said list structure is a partial list.
- 19. An apparatus in accordance with claim 17, wherein:said full list comprises one entry per cache line.
- 20. An apparatus in accordance with claim 18, wherein:said partial list comprises one entry per plurality of cache lines.
- 21. In a multiprocessor computer system with a plurality of processors and cache memory, an apparatus for cache flushing, comprising:

a list structure to track a status of a plurality of cache entries, wherein said list structure is located outside a cache and wherein said list structure does not contain cache data or addresses;

a processor identification within said list structure to link each of said plurality of cache entries to one of the plurality of processors;

a query mechanism to check said list structure for a state of a cache entry identified with a processor;

a cache flush mechanism to flush a cache entry linked to an identified processor and to modify said list structure to reflect a flushed status.

- 22. An apparatus in accordance with claim 21, wherein:said list structure contains at least one bit for each cache line.
- 23. An apparatus in accordance with claim 21, wherein:said list structure contains at least one bit for each of a plurality of cache lines.
- 24. An apparatus in accordance with claim 21, wherein:said list structure is located on a die with at least one of the plurality of processors.
- 25. A method of flushing a cache, comprising:
  creating a table of cache entries separate from the cache and without the cache data or addresses;

tracking modified cache entries in said table; and generating a write-back command from said table in response to a cache flush event.

- 26. A method in accordance with claim 25, further comprising:
  generating an invalidate command in response to a cache flush event.
- 27. A method in accordance with claim 25, further comprising: repeating the method for each level of cache.
- 28. A method in accordance with claim 25, further comprising: querying said table in response to a snoop command.

- 29. A method in accordance with claim 25, further comprising writing-back modified cache entries to memory.
- 30. A method in accordance with claim 25, further comprising: writing-back modified cache entries to a high level cache.